

04-05-07

Docket No.: 02008/105002

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Shinsaku Higashi et al.

Application No.: 10/814,603

Filed: March 31, 2004

For: TEST EMULATOR, TEST MODULE

EMULATOR AND RECORD MEDIUM STORING PROGRAM THEREIN

Confirmation No.: 5472

Art Unit: 2123

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed March 7, 2007, Applicant hereby provisionally elects claims Group I, claims 1 - 12 for continued examination. Additionally, Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection requirement with respect to the restriction between Group I and III on the grounds that there would exist no serious burden on the Examiner to examine both Groups I and III given the fact that they are classified in the same class and subclass. Applicant respectfully notes that there are two requirements for proper restriction, (A) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (B) there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. If the search and examination of claims in an application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions. (See MPEP § 803.)(emphasis added.)

224951

Application No.: 10/814,603 Docket No.: 02008/105002

Therefore, because Groups I and III identified in the present application are both classified in class 703, subclass 23, there exists no serious burden on the Examiner to examine the claims of both Groups. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests consideration of Group I, claims 1 -12, which was provisionally elected, and Group III, claims 15-17, which claims can also be examined without serious burden on the Examiner.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0591, under Order No. 02008/105002 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: March 30, 2007

÷

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas K. Scherer

Registration No.: 45,079

OSHA · LIANG LLP

1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800

Houston, Texas 77010

(713) 228-8600

(713) 228-8778 (Fax)

224951 2

olication No. (if known): 10/814,603

Attorney Docket No.: 02008/105002

## Certificate of Express Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 882595901 US in an envelope addressed to:

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on	March 30, 2007
	Date

re
arris
rson signing Certificate
(713) 228-8600 Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Response to Restriction Requirement (without Traverse) (2 pages) Return receipt postcard